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In many countries, there are a certain number of organizations going through severe crises due to a failure in corporate governance. In this study, the main aim is to determine how the ownership structure and the characteristics of the boards of Nigeria’s listed deposit money banks (DMBs) affect aggressive earnings management for a period of 5 years (2011-2016). The panel least-square method was used to analyze the data collected. The findings revealed that private, foreign and government shareholdings have a negative and significant impact on aggressive earnings management. Also, directors’ tenures status has a significant effect on aggressive earning management, while the board size, the gender and the size of the firm have no such significant effect in the period observed. Based on these findings, the study concludes that the ownership structure has a significant impact on aggressive earnings management, whereas the characteristics of the board, excluding directors’ tenures status, do not have any significant effect. Hence, the study recommends that the 10% threshold imposed by the CBN on the government shareholding should be maintained, while regulatory and supervisory agencies are advised to pay adequate attention and conduct the monitoring of the activities performed by the CEOs of the banks, especially upon expiry of the directors’ tenure, so as to protect shareholders.
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INTRODUCTION

The annual report is a medium through which an organization’s financial and non-financial objectives are disseminated to the public. Its content is specified in the underlying principles and standards guiding the accounting profession. An important content of the financial report is the earnings reported at the end of each operating period. Earnings, also known as the net income or the bottom line, are the amount remaining after operating expenses,
taxes, dividends on preference stock and interest charges have been deducted. Consequently, based on the importance of earnings to every organization, their management becomes imperative. To manage earnings may be reasonable or legal for managerial decision-making and, at the same time, it could also be fraudulent, unethical and illegal. While reasonable and legal earnings management reporting intends to achieve sustainable and foreseeable financial results, fraudulent and illegal earnings management is geared towards reporting the results that do not reflect economic activities (Mckee, 2005; Osemene, Muritala & Olawale, 2014; Crumbley, Heitger & Smith, 2015). Aggressive earnings management can be either beneficial or harmful, depending on how it is conducted. Beneficial earnings management is that conducted within the ambit of the accounting standard, whereas the harmful is unethical and is beyond the context of the accounting standard.

It is imperative that ownership should be separated from control in an organization for checks and balances, so that the managers who do not own a small portion of stock in the firm are checked as there is a possibility for them to deviate from the objectives of the firm due to their selfish interest. The ownership structure differs from one organization to another as a result of differences in either the economy of scale, regulation or the environment stability, among other things. As reported by S. R. Kole (1995), the ownership structure of different sizes will differently impact earnings management. In Nigeria, the ownership structure can be in the private (family), managerial (insider or outsider), institutional, block, government and foreign forms. This study considers private, foreign and government shareholding as stated in the Nigerian Deposit Insurance Corporation (NDIC) reports leaving out institutional ownership and the other forms of the ownership structure because of the accessibility and authenticity of the data. Apart from the ownership structure, the characteristics of the board are suspected to have an influence on earnings. The characteristics of the board broadly grouped into the following categories: the board demography (the gender, the ethnicity, the years of age) and the board structure (the size, committees, independence, meetings, and the tenure) have their bearing in one way or another on the earnings reported by the corporation (Fitriya & Stuart, 2012; Osemene, Abogun, Olaoti & Ahmed, 2017).

Over the years, a certain number of organizations throughout the world have collapsed or have otherwise experienced severe crises due to a failure in corporate governance. This could be so as a result of manipulations in the financial statements and a financial statement fraud. Some cases in point are the Enron scandal - USA, WorldCom - USA, Toshiba - Japan, Tesco - UK, Cadbury - Nigeria, and Afribank - Nigeria (Dibia & Onwuchekwa, 2014; Alzoubi, 2016; Saidu, Ibrahim & Muktar, 2017). The reasons for the manipulations cut across personal interests, tax evasion, stock prices, etc. Earnings are the one area where this manipulation is obvious.

As opined in the study by M. S. Yorke, M. Amidu and C. A. Boateng (2016), managers, who are also utility maximizers, will act in such ways so as to maximize their selfish interests. Their personal satisfaction will be their ultimate goal to the detriment of the shareholders. What characterizes the management board also influences how earnings are managed; how the board tenure, the gender diversity and the board size affect earnings is of a specific interest here. The Board of Directors performs the functions ranging from monitoring, recruitment, the disengagement of staff and the management, as well as the provision of resources, direction and the promulgation of strategic decisions (Fitriya & Stuart, 2012). Where the board characteristics are lopsided, the aforementioned functions will be shortchanged, especially the board’s monitoring role.

The Nigerian deposit money banks (DMBs) have been characterized by restructuring. Since 2005, THE Central Bank of Nigeria has forced commercial banks to undergo a series of mergers, acquisitions and restructurings (in 2011, the Sterling bank acquired the Equatorial Trust bank, whereas the First City Monument bank acquired the First Inland bank in 2012; the Mainstreet bank was issued a license to operate in 2011, whereas by 2014, it was 100 per cent acquired by the Skye bank), thus reducing the total number of the banks to twenty four (NDIC, 2016).
As a sequel to the above reasons, the main aim of this study is to determine how aggressive earnings management is affected by the ownership structure and the characteristics of the board in Nigeria's DMBs. The specific objectives of the study are to determine the impact of private, foreign and government shareholdings on aggressive earnings management and investigate the impact of the Chief Executive Officers' (CEOs) tenure, the availability of female board members and directors, as well as the board size, on aggressive earnings management.

The formulated hypotheses in line with the specific objectives are as follows:

**H1**  
Private, foreign and government shareholdings have no significant impact on earnings management.

**H2**  
The CEOs' tenure, the availability of female board members and directors, as well as the board size, have no significant impact on aggressive earnings management.

Both formulated hypotheses were tested at the 0.05 level of significance.

A series of studies on aggressive earnings management, the ownership structure and the characteristics of the board have been conducted outside the Nigerian context. A vast majority of the studies have been dealing with the ownership structure, the characteristics of the board and the performance of firms, with the major emphasis on the board size, meetings and the composition. This study, however, has added the board's tenure to the other characteristics (the gender diversity and the board size) in Nigerian DMBs and its effect on the earnings generated by corporations. There are three types of the ownership structure in the banking business climes that were studied. In no small way does this study add to the literature on earnings management in Nigerian DMBs, especially not to how it is influenced by the ownership structure and the characteristics of the board.

The rest of this paper is organized into the four sections comprising the review of the literature with a reference to the relevant studies addressing earnings management as the explanatory variable (Section 2). The third section describes the methodology, whereas the fourth discusses and presents the results obtained. The last segment of the paper (Section 5) provides the conclusions the study has come to and proffers the policy recommendations.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

Due to the information asymmetry on the side of companies' shareholders, the CEOs of enterprises often declare the earnings resulting in a conflict of interest between CEOs and shareholders. A conflict of interest is associated with the agency cost, such as the management decisions that do not optimize a shareholders' interest (Kazemian & Sanusi, 2015). A probable agency problem occurs if managers can significantly influence earnings management in order to satisfy their own respective self-interests to the detriment of their shareholders (Shu, Yeh Chiu & Yang, 2015). Agency theory is a term coined by A. J. Berle and G. Means (1932) in order for them to explain the relationship that exists between the owners who are the principals in the contractual agreement with the agents who act on behalf of the owners. The theory suggests that monitoring mechanisms can improve the alignment of the management's and the shareholders' interests, and simultaneously mitigate any opportunistic behavior resulting from a conflict of interest (Kazemian & Sanusi, 2015). They focused on the agency conflict that ensues between professional managers and outside investors (Kim & Yi, 2006). Managers are hired and given a commensurate authority for the production purpose, basically reflecting in their being responsible for the shareholders' wealth maximization (Chen & Chu, 2005). It is the principal/agent relationship involving the delegation of the decision-making authority to the agent. Where this relationship is contrary to the principal/agent relationship i.e. both parties are utility maximizers there is every possibility for the agent not to act in the best interest of the principal. This is said to be opportunistic behavior, thus giving rise to a conflict of interest. As a result of the information
asymmetries existing between the principal and the agent, the principal may need to put up mechanisms in order to regain or reinforce trust and confidence. This gives rise to agency costs, which includes monitoring and compensation costs.

The Ownership Structure and Aggressive Earnings Management - The ownership structure is a proportion of the shares held by different parties in the equity (ordinary shares) of the company. These parties are known as the owners of the corporation, ranging from promoters, individual and institutional investors, private and public corporations and foreign owners. The ownership structure of DMBs in Nigeria is predominantly categorized into the three categories, namely: government, private (Nigerian) and foreign. These structures have been varying over the years due to certain restructuring in the banking sector (NDIC, 2016).

Aggressive earnings management is the term used to describe managers’ intentional act to manipulate the reported net income by relying on specific accounting methods in order to make changes that favored their respective interests (Smith, Lapin & Naj, 1994; Jensen, 2004). This action thus affects companies’ credibility, reputation and stock performance. Aggressive earnings management also arises as a result of the use of the discretionary measures available under the generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) that permit managers to generate or make the required accounting figures available for investors and stakeholders, while simultaneously hiding the actual facts (Yorke et al, 2016).

In Nigeria, the Companies and Allied Matters Act - CAMA (2004) specifies the membership of a company, its right and duties. Each category of owners has different economic motives, different consequent power as regards participation in strategic decision-making, thus affecting the performance of the organization. With divergent interests arising between managers (agents) and owners (principals), agency theory tries to solve the problem as it adversely affects the performance of a corporation through supervision, monitoring and controlling the owners’ group, usually the board of directors.

The Characteristics of the Board and Aggressive Earnings Management - The board of directors has certain features that distinguish it from the owners and the management officers of the organization. These features are categorized into the board demography (the gender, the ethnicity, the years of age) and the board structure (the size, committees, independence, meetings, the tenure) (Fitriya & Stuart, 2012). The demography of the board explains the physiological and sociological attributes of the directors (both executive and non-executive), whereas the structure explains the composition, terms and operations of the board. The board tenure could have an impact on a corporation’s earning. CEOs with a short-term or minor tenure report earnings more aggressively than those with a long-term tenure, except for the penultimate year prior to their leaving (Hu, Hao, Liu & Yao, 2015). In order to inject fresh ideas, the code of corporate governance for banks in Nigeria stipulates that directors may serve continuously for the maximum of three terms amounting to twelve years on the board (CBN, 2006).

The board is the major vehicle when conducting corporate governance in any organization is concerned. In the banking industry, the board constitutes the highest policy-making body, and what transpires at that level has a significant impact on their operations and activities. The board must ensure the credibility of the financial report they present to the public through ensuring that the procedures for maintaining the integrity of the financial statements and ensuring compliance with the stipulated laws and ethics (NDIC, 2016). Anything short of this could result in inadequate earnings management, especially where there is inadequate monitoring and supervision.

A Discretionary Loan Loss Provision and Aggressive Earnings Management - One of the components of banks’ earnings subject to manipulation is the discretionary loan loss provision (Beatty, Bin & Petroni, 2002). As stated in the CBN’s Prudential Guideline (2010), loan loss provisions are the expense items listed on the income statement reflecting the management’s current period assessment of the level of future loan losses. The provisions are general
and specific in nature. General provisions are made because even a performing loan harbors a certain inherent risk of becoming bad, whereas specific provisions must be put in place based on the perceived risk of default or failure to pay as and when due. The timing for increasing and the amount of an increase in loan loss provisions are at the discretion of managers because they are expected to have all and firsthand information about their customers (debtors). Even more so, interest income or expense, service revenues or operating costs are non-discretionary, and as such cannot be easily twisted. On this note, managers use such timing to manage their earnings. This special feature has no doubt made it a natural choice of bank managers’ discretion regarding earnings since investors and bank regulators would hardly be able to pin down the managers on the decisions made on such loan loss provisions.

Several studies have been carried out on earnings management practice and activities in corporations, the ownership structure and the characteristics of the board. For instance, O. R. Uwuigbe and A. S. Fakile (2012) studied the effects of the board size on the financial performance of the listed banks in Nigeria. The board size and returns on equity were used as the explanatory and the explained variables, respectively. They noted that the banks with the board size of fewer than 13 members appeared to be more viable than those exceeding the number of 13 members. Furthermore, the banks having the boards consisting of a large number of members recorded lower profits when compared with those with a smaller number of members. Thus, the board size of between 6 and 8 members was recommended for the better financial performance of banks in Nigeria, as they opined it would reduce the problem of free-rider directors and enhance effective monitoring and decision-making.

In their study of corporate governance, the characteristics of the board and the performance of a firm, D. Pradeep, G. Pemarathne and H. Siriyama (2014) (Sri Lanka) used Tobin’s Q as the proxy for measuring the performance of the firm. It was revealed that the CEO duality, the board composition, interlocking directorates and the gender diversity had a negative influence on the performance of the firm, but adjudged that a small board size and independence influenced the performance of the firm. The study, therefore, concludes that the separation of the management from ownership might not encourage a better performance of the firm, as agency theory suggests, but their unification might enhance the performance of the board. This agreed with the prior study carried out by A. S. Omoye and P. O. Eriki (2014), but was contrary to J. U. Madugba and A. K. Ogbonnaya’s (2017) study of corporate governance and earnings management in Nigerian deposit money banks, which revealed that corporate governance mechanisms positively influenced the financial performance of the banks.

E. S. Alzoubi (2016) conducted a study in Jordan which spanned a period of eight years, namely from 2006 to 2013, on the samples of listed companies. Jordan is characterized by a high concentration of the ownership on the family-investor basis. The findings revealed that a greater family ownership presented a lower level of discretionary accruals. The study concluded that the proportion of insider managerial ownership, institutional ownership, external block holders, family and foreign ownerships could reduce earnings management. His results agreed with the prior studies by V. Ratnawati, M. A. Hamid and O. M. J. Popoola (2016), and N. Teshima and A. Shuto (2008).

O. R. Uwuigbe, T. O. Fagbemi and U. F. Anusuem (2012) carried out a study of the effects of the audit committee and the ownership structure on income smoothening in Nigeria. They used ordinary least square regression and revealed that the banks with
a higher proportion of non-executive directors on the committee tended to have a positive influence on their banks. They also posited that as the directors’ percentage of ownership increased, the ownership structure of the firm changed from the one being manager-controlled to the other being manager-owner controlled. Therefore, as managerial ownership increases, there is a corresponding increase in the managers’ discretionary ability to modify the revenue generating process through the use of an accounting choice.

The findings of the study by H. Saidu, O. Ibrahim and J. O. Muktar (2017) of the five sampled banks in Nigeria in the period 2011-2015 revealed that earnings management was in place in the DMBs in the country. The study measured a loan loss provision as the proxy for earnings management, the size of the firm and the years of age of the firm on return on assets (ROA), which was the proxy for the performance measurement. It was established that there was a negative association between earnings management and ROA, which suggests that banks decrease ROA in order to smooth earnings.

A study of the effect of creative accounting on the Nigerian banking industry, carried out by N. B. Ijeoma (2014), focusing on the reasons for creative accounting in the industry, found that creative accounting had a major effect on banks’ distress in Nigeria. Furthermore, the author added that the major reason for earnings management practice is to inflate the cost in order to reduce the tax exposure and maintain or boost the share price.

In India, a study conducted by J. K. P. Kumari (2017) presented an analysis supportive of the substantial income-increasing earnings management practices in commercial banks. The study reiterated that the corporate governance practices (such as the characteristics of the board, audit practices and a performance-based remuneration) basically worked as the restricting variables for the earnings management practices. Their findings were in tandem with those of A. L. Beatty et al (2002), and O. Ugbede, M. Lizam and A. Kaseri (2013).

The findings of the study of the 500 large companies in India using data for 2003 and 2004 carried out by J. Sarkar, S. Sarkar and K. Sen (2008) suggested that responsible boards were associated with a low level of earnings management, whereas the directors of the boards with several designations demonstrated a high level of earnings management. With respect to inside directors, their results indicated that the chief executive officer (CEO) duality and the presence of controlling shareholders on the board increased earnings management. The study also found that the domestic institutional owners act was the compensating control mechanism for checking the damaging effects of controlling shareholders on earnings management.

M. A. Ratab, A. A. Zakaria and A. Thankom (2017) studied the effect of CEOs’ characteristics on earnings management in Jordan by applying the random effect model to the 201 companies listed on the Asian Stock Exchange (ASE). The study established the fact that there was no relationship between the gender and the earnings management practices; the years of age and the earnings management practices, although overconfidence was positively significant with earnings management as the managers’ physiological and sociological characteristics might affect their decision-making. This view is supported in the studies by C. M. Schrand and S. L. Zechman (2012), and G. V. Krishnan and L. M. Parsons (2008).

The relationship between creative accounting and a managerial decision in the seven selected financial institutions in Nigeria in the time period from 2006 to 2011, as studied by O. F. Osemene, T. A. Muritala and A. A. Olawale (2014), revealed that the two dependent variables tested (Return on Equity - ROE and Dividend Pay Out) were significantly associated with creative accounting. The study further revealed that ROE was negatively associated with net income before tax, the cash flow ratio and the gearing ratio indicating a possible existence of income-decreasing earnings management. Non-performing loans and net income after tax were, however, positively associated with ROE, indicating the fact that there was creative accounting when operational risk was high.
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design and Data Collection - This study employed the ex-post facto research design as it permits the examination of independent variables in retrospect for their possible relationship with dependent variables. The study’s population comprised the fifteen quoted banks on the Nigerian Stock Exchange from 2011 to 2016, while fourteen banks were used as the sample for the study. Skye Bank was dropped due to the unavailability of data. The panel least square method was used in order to analyze the data collected.

Model Specification - This study adapted the methodology applied by R-D. Chang, W-H. Shen and C-J. Fang (2008) to estimate loan loss provisions as a proxy for aggressive earnings management with the variables: loan charge-offs and the beginning balance of the allowance for bad debts. The loan loss provision is equal to the sum of the ending balance of the allowance for bad debts and the loan charge-offs, then deducting the beginning balance of the allowance for bad debts is modeled as follows:

$$ DLLP_{it} = (PRIV_{it}, FRGN_{it}, GOVT_{it}, CEOTEN_{it} + GENDER_{it} + BDSIZE_{it} + SIZE_{it}) $$

Discretionary loan loss provisions cannot be directly observed; hence it is estimated by regressing the loan loss provisions on the explanatory variables in Equation (1a); hence the residual estimated as the discretionary loan loss provisions. Subjecting the explained variables in (1a) to the regression model, the required equation is given as follows:

$$ DLLP_{it} = \alpha + \beta_0 PRIV_{it} + \beta_1 FRGN_{it} + \beta_2 GOVT_{it} + \beta_3 CEOTEN_{it} + \beta_4 GENDER_{it} + \beta_5 BDSIZE_{it} + \epsilon_{it} $$

$$ DLLP $$ denotes the discretionary loan loss provision (aggressive earnings management); $$ PRIV $$ denotes a private shareholding in percentages; $$ FORGN $$ denotes a foreign shareholding in percentages; $$ GOVT $$ represents a government shareholding in percentages; $$ BDSIZE $$ denotes the number of the directors on the board. $$ CEOTEN $$ are assigned the dummy of external financing the value of 1, when the underlying firm has an external financial plan; otherwise, it is assigned the value of 0; $$ GENDER $$ denotes the number of the female directors on the board; $$ SIZE $$ denotes the number of the female directors on the board; $$ SIZE $$ denotes the natural log of the total assets, where $$ i $$ and $$ t $$ represent the sample, and the $$ t $$ of the quoted firms. The coefficient of the independent variables is expected to be $$ \beta_0 $$ to $$ \beta_6 > 0 $$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section deals with the presentation of the descriptive results in respect of the ownership structure and the other characteristics. As can be seen in Table 1, the private shareholding in the Nigerian DMBs constitutes 81% of the ownership structure, with the foreign shareholding accounting for 15% and the remaining 3% accounting for the government shareholding. This structure does not exceed the threshold of 10% of the Government’s equity holdings (both direct and indirect) (CBN, 2006). The results also show that the discretionary loan loss provision has a small mean value of 7.4%, with the maximum value of 97%, and the minimum value of 1.2%. Thus, it shows that Nigerian DMBs manage their earnings, on the average.

The result of the mean of 0.13 for $$ CEOTEN $$ implies that the CEO tenure affects earnings about 13% on average. The Jarque-Bera large values satisfy the normality test for the result with the $$ p $$ value of 0.000 showing that the values are normally distributed. The standard deviation of the whole independent variables is relatively larger compared with the mean. This implies that different levels of pressure are borne by different banks. It thus shows a large and material divergence between the banks’ behavior regarding the loan loss provision, the ownership structure and the board tenure.

Table 2 shows that there are both the negative and the positive relationships amongst the variables. As can be seen in the Table 2, there is a very strong and inverse relationship between the foreign and the private shareholdings ($$ r = -0.963 $$). This means that a
unit increase in the private shareholding leads to a reduction in the government shareholding, and vice versa.

The Test of the Hypotheses - This subsection shows the inferential results in respect of the formulated hypotheses in order to make a policy recommendation with respect to the statistical pieces of evidence.

Table 3 shows the effect of the ownership structure and the characteristics of the board characteristics of the Nigerian banks. With respect to the ownership structure, the regression outputs reveal that the private (PRIV: Beta = -1.42235; t = -4.430098, p < 0.0001), the foreign (FORGN: Beta = -1.482719; t = -4.464672; p < 0.0000) and the government (GOVT: Beta = -1.03732, t = -3.667092; p < 0.0006) shareholdings have a significant impact on earnings management. This implies that a unit increase in any of the independent variables significantly leads to a reduction in aggressive earning management. Based on these results, the null hypothesis (H1) is rejected, and we conclude that private, foreign and government shareholdings have a significant impact on the aggressive ownership structure. These results agree with those of the earlier studies carried out by E. S. Alzoubi (2016), V. Ratnawati et al (2016) and N. Teshima and A. Shuto (2008), but they differ from O. R. Uwuigbe and A. S. Fakile (2012).

Table 1 The descriptive statistics of the Nigerian banking ownership structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DLLP</th>
<th>PRIV</th>
<th>FORGN</th>
<th>GOVT</th>
<th>CEOTEN</th>
<th>GENDER</th>
<th>BDSIZE</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7.456310</td>
<td>81.32976</td>
<td>15.49560</td>
<td>3.180476</td>
<td>0.130952</td>
<td>2.142857</td>
<td>14.05952</td>
<td>7.170411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.400000</td>
<td>91.38000</td>
<td>0.115000</td>
<td>0.330000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>1.406892</td>
<td>0.755223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>97.000000</td>
<td>100.00000</td>
<td>100.00000</td>
<td>35.00000</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>5.000000</td>
<td>19.00000</td>
<td>8.465381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>1.200000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>10.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>11.47776</td>
<td>26.15163</td>
<td>25.09820</td>
<td>7.025393</td>
<td>0.339374</td>
<td>1.406892</td>
<td>2.743453</td>
<td>0.40712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>6.018422</td>
<td>-1.705193</td>
<td>1.830367</td>
<td>3.073275</td>
<td>2.187932</td>
<td>0.110323</td>
<td>-0.083688</td>
<td>-0.285333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>45.76172</td>
<td>5.61682</td>
<td>12.15105</td>
<td>5.787049</td>
<td>2.201521</td>
<td>1.833384</td>
<td>2.247219</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarque-Bera</td>
<td>6907.076</td>
<td>55.70249</td>
<td>70.77648</td>
<td>425.3262</td>
<td>94.0542</td>
<td>2.401884</td>
<td>4.861525</td>
<td>3.124783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>626.3300</td>
<td>6831.700</td>
<td>1301.630</td>
<td>267.1600</td>
<td>180.0000</td>
<td>1181.000</td>
<td>624.7024</td>
<td>47.34004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SumSq. Dev.</td>
<td>10934.34</td>
<td>56764.34</td>
<td>52283.35</td>
<td>4096.560</td>
<td>9555524</td>
<td>164.2857</td>
<td>624.7024</td>
<td>47.34004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors

Table 2 The correlation matrix of the explained and the explanatory variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DLLP</th>
<th>PRIV</th>
<th>FORGN</th>
<th>GOVT</th>
<th>CEOTEN</th>
<th>GENDER</th>
<th>BDSIZE</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DLLP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.068</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIV</td>
<td>-0.068</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.963</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORGN</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.963</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOVT</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>-0.282</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEOTEN</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>-0.131</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENDER</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>-0.347</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDSIZE</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>-0.358</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>-0.220</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>-0.385</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors
In order to test the level of significance in the second hypothesis, the regression outputs in Table 3 reveal that, with the exception of the tenure of the directors’ status (CEOTEN: Beta = 7.60359, t = 2.481284, p < 0.0166) that show a positive and significant relationship with aggressive earning management, the other explained variables, such as the board size (BSIZE: Beta = -0.966747, t = -0.870795, p = 0.3881), the gender (GENDER: Beta = -2.813337, t = -1.700311, p = 0.0954) and the size (SIZE: Beta = 9.267763, t = 1.178075, p = 0.2445) have no significant effect on aggressive earning management. The positive result in the directors’ tenure status indicates that their tenure has expired, which suggests a possibility of an aggressive earning declaration. Based on the foregoing results, we conclude that the directors’ tenures status has a significant effect on aggressive earning management, whereas the board size, the gender and the size of the firm do not have any significant effect on aggressive earning management in the selected banks for the period considered. This result agrees with the outcome of N. Hu et al (2015) stating that CEOs with a short-term and minor tenure report earnings more aggressively than those with long-term tenures, except for the penultimate year prior to their leaving. It also agrees with the agency theory that posits that managers’ convergent interest gives rise to a conflict of interest. These results are also at variance with the study carried out by S. M. Chtourou et al (2001) and D. Pradeep et al (2014).

**Table 3** The panel regression result regarding the ownership structure and the Characteristics of the Boards of Nigerian banks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-36.31809</td>
<td>56.20954</td>
<td>-0.64612</td>
<td>0.5212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIV</td>
<td>-1.42235</td>
<td>0.321065</td>
<td>-4.43098</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORGN</td>
<td>-1.482719</td>
<td>0.3321</td>
<td>-4.464672</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOVT</td>
<td>-1.03732</td>
<td>0.282873</td>
<td>-3.667092</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEOTEN</td>
<td>7.60359</td>
<td>3.064378</td>
<td>2.481284</td>
<td>0.0166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENDER</td>
<td>-2.813337</td>
<td>1.654602</td>
<td>-1.700311</td>
<td>0.0954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDSIZE</td>
<td>-0.966747</td>
<td>1.110189</td>
<td>-0.870795</td>
<td>0.3881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>9.267763</td>
<td>7.866869</td>
<td>1.178075</td>
<td>0.2445</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Effects Specification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)</th>
<th>Mean dependent var</th>
<th>S.D. dependent var</th>
<th>Akaike info criterion</th>
<th>Schwarz criterion</th>
<th>Hannan-Quinn criter.</th>
<th>Durbin-Watson stat</th>
<th>1.852773</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.690634</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.670143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R-squared</td>
<td>0.564362</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.46398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.E. of regression</td>
<td>8.226585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.295944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum squared resid</td>
<td>3316.158</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.970493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log likelihood</td>
<td>-234.358</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.563883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-statistic</td>
<td>5.469418</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.852773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prob(F-statistic)</td>
<td>0.00000001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.852773</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Authors
CONCLUSION

This study has established the fact that private, foreign and government shareholdings have an inverse relationship with aggressive earnings management. Also, the directors’ tenures status has a significant effect on aggressive earning management, whereas the board size, the gender and the size of the firm do not have any significant effect for the investigated period. These findings clearly show that the influence of independent board members, which is also an important characteristic of the board, is excluded as a part of the variables investigated. Also, the small sample size of 84 and the 5 years period of investigation are rather too short. Nevertheless, the short time period, the sample size and the inclusion of the other characteristics of the board in this study provide a research focus for future research studies.

In line with the findings of the study, it is therefore recommended that the 10% threshold established by the CBN on the government shareholding should be maintained and adequate supervisory role should be put in place in order to ensure that the threshold is not faulted. Furthermore, foreign ownership should be encouraged for the purpose of providing external monitoring and expertise. Also, the study recommends that adequate attention and monitoring should be paid and conducted, respectively, by regulatory and supervisory agencies with respect to banks, especially upon expiry of the CEO tenure so as to discourage any personal interest that might likely influence decisions made by the board.
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